m	the United	d States	Detrict	Gort
For	-the Distr	ict of Mass	achoods	

Gregory Fisher

IN CLERKS OFFICE

2004 JAN -8 P 1:40

Sheriff Thomas Hadgeoun
Bristal Genty Shoriffs Dept.
Bristal Genty House of Corrections
H.O.C. Dyxr intendant Mr. Peter Permincello
Anthony Mastromarino. Alfamey at Law

04 1011

MINT

Civil Action No

I. Cregory Fisher, prose, for his complaint state as follows: BV. #DIM

II. Partics, Jurisdictions and Venue

- 2. Plaintiff Gregory Fisher was confined as a pretrial detainer in the Bristol County Cheriffs Asii Street facility located 226 Ash Street in the city of New Bed ford in the State of Massachusets from May 26th of 2002 to October 7th, 2002. Plaintiff is currently confined at the Bristol County Darlmouth House of Corrections, 400 Faunce Corner Road, North Darlmouth Massachusetts 02747
- 3. Plaintiff Gregory Fisher is and was cital times mentioned herein, an adult citizen of the United Otates and aresident of the drite of Massachusets.
- the Defendant Themas Hodgeson was at all times here in the Sheriff of Bristol Country and head of the House of Corrections of Bristol Country with responsibility for operating and maintaining determining peral and corrective institutions within Bristol Canty.
- 5. Defendant Peter Perincello. Superintendant for all Bristol County defendion.

- pencil and corrective institutions including the city jails, and was Soverintendent at all relevant times herein. Defendant manages its day to day operations. 6. Anthony Mastromanno Defendant and afformey was at all times herein an afformeyat law working through the Bristol County Public defenders.
- 7. Defendant Bristol County Shariffs Department is and was at all times a municipal corporation of the State of Massachusetts and the County of Bristol.
- 8. Defendant Bristol Conty Housed Corrections is and was at all times a municipal corporation of the State of Massachusetts and the County of Bristol responsible for housing and holding detention, penal and corrective in mater resulting from detention determinations of the Bristol County Courts.
- 9. This action arises under and is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 to remedy the deprivation, under color of law, of rights guaranteed by the Sixth, First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. This Court has juried iction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331.
- 10. Plaintiff's Claims for injunctive relief are authorized by 28 6.5. C. Sections 2283 and 2284 and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 11. This cause of actionance in the Lastern District of Massachasells. Therefore, venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 (b).

III. Previous Lawsuits by Plaintit

12. Plaintiff has filed no other lawsoit dealing with the same facts involved in this action or otherwise relating to his impresonment,

IV. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

13. There was no prison grievance procedure in the institution, all my written amplaints to facility authorities in Ash St. were never responded to after October 71,02 in the Dart mooth facility it was policy that without an open Massachuselts case in mates were not allowed to use the law 1. brary and a non-grievable issoc.

I Statement of Claim it. Paintiff Gregory Fisher reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs I through 13 herein.

15. At all relevant times herein, defendants was "person" for purposes of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 and acted under colour of law to deprive plaintiff Gregory Fisher of his constitutional rights, as set forth more fully below.

II Statement of Facts

- 1. Plaintiff Gregory Fisher was unable to aid himself in his defense due to the Bristol Gunty Ash Street facility having no law I brary access available to pre trial detainees thro May 2002 to December of 2002.
- 2. Plaintiff Gregory Fisher was unable to address the matter to facility due to there being no grievance program and administration ignoring all wriften requests.
- 3. Plaintiff Gregory Fisher was not aided, informed or filed for any post conviction rights or remedies (ie. appeal, revise and revide etc) by his Attorney Anthony Mostromarino and every request for information or aid was summarily refused or ignored with full knowledge of there being no legal access or remedies available in Bristol Conty: House of Corrections clearly and freely violating plaintiffs rights.

- 4. Maintiff Gregory Fisher soffered actual injury due to rolling by Superintedant Penncello that without an open case inmates couldnot use the lawlibrary and due to Alforney Anthony Mastromarino not informing orfiling an appeal for Plaintiff, Gregory Fishers rights were dearly violated disallowing him usage of the law library.
- 5. At present the law library at the Bristol County House of Corrections Dartmouth facility has been abolished la l'together. Only the secured housing unit and Immigration and Naturalization detectives have a single terminal with Lexis Nexis programed on it. Any and all legal access is absolutely unavoulable to Haintiff Gregory Fisher at the present time.

VII Prayer for Relief

16. Plaintiff requests \$ 750,000 as compensatory damages.

17. Plaintiff Gregory Fisher requests an injunction compelling defendants to provide legal facilities which are adequete to all detainees and 18. Plaintiff Gregory Fisher seeks their by jury.

Signed thisday of January 2nd 2004

'declare under penalty of parjury that the feregoing is true and correct

Gregory Fisher

January 2nd, 04